



The Comptroller General
of the United States

Washington, D.C. 20548

Decision

Matter of: Benora Cleaning Service, Inc.
File: B-226865
Date: June 1, 1987

DIGEST

General Accounting Office (GAO) will not consider a claim arising from the alleged improper termination of a sub-contract by the prime contractor/operator of a government plant because the claim involves contract administration and by law is for resolution by forums other than GAO.

DECISION

Benora Cleaning Service, Inc. has filed a breach of sub-contract claim arising out of purchase order No. 2907600, issued by Morton-Thiokol, Inc., contract operator of the Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant (Contract No. DAAA09-75-C-0028).

The claimant contends that Morton-Thiokol improperly terminated the 1-year custodial services purchase order issued to Benora with 6 months remaining. The General Provisions of the purchase order specifically provided that Morton-Thiokol could terminate for convenience the performance of work.

We will not consider the claim. Except for one situation not applicable here, we do not review decisions to terminate contracts, since by law contract termination involves a matter of contract administration for consideration by a contract appeals board or a court of competent jurisdiction. Hero, Inc., B-221820, May 12, 1986, 86-1 CPD ¶ 450. In this regard, claims against the government relating to express or implied procurement contracts generally contracts are subject to the Contract Disputes Act of 1978, 41 U.S.C. §§ 601-613 (1982), which establishes procedures for resolving such claims and provides for appeals of contracting officer decisions on claims to the boards or to the United States Claims Court.

039095

Ralph Construction, Inc., B-222162, June 25, 1986, 86-1 CPD
¶ 592.

The claim is dismissed.

Ronald Berger

Ronald Berger
Deputy Associate
General Counsel